CUTTING TOOL WEAR PREDICTION USING NOVEL PIEZOFILM-BASED VIBRATORY SIGNAL ANALYSIS (Z-ROT)
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Early intervention to change worn cutting tool before its failure could avoid unexpected machine downtime. A mathematical based predictive model is employed to estimate early tool failure using vibratory signal. The statistical-based signal analysis technique as wear tracking analysis is applied in the predictive model to outline the data pattern concerning wear and number of cutting. The signal analysis based on the changes in the vibration signatures that captured from accelerometer during the milling operation throughout the tool life. A significant correlation between the tool flank wear and the statistical index has achieved. The tool life as a function of the acceleration amplitude of assimilated vibrations. Selected curve fitting equations are considered to decide the transition point between the steady state and failure region. The result shows a significant expectation of determining the second transition point. The accuracy, reliability and robustness of the predicted transition point were then parallel against another sensing elements where it predicts almost the same transition point. The determination of the second transition point will assist the preparation to anticipate the tool to be broken. The results reflected that the model gives reasonable estimation of tool life and the transition points at which changes of the region transpire.
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1. Introduction

The variation in cutting condition influence and alters the momentary and unpredictable cutting dynamic process and thus affects the cutting tool condition and process stability. As vibration become the primary effect, cutting tool condition and machine tools ultimately pay the price with worn tool eventually fails and broken machines. A vibratory system in machining operations consists of the machine tool, cutting tool, workpiece and cutting conditions create a complex dynamic behaviour [1]. Vibration signals are one of the most widely analyzed because they provide a thorough insight into the metal cutting process and considerably less complex in nature, more inclusive, and convenient [2]. The metal cutting process naturally produces vibration signal apart from mechanical vibration harvest from the tool wear and the cutting conditions such as breakage [3]. Many researchers present vibration analysis for tool condition monitoring. Dimla and Lister [4] found that vibration signal is most tool wear sensitive to measure vibration signals in time and frequency domain analysis to predict tool wear. Chen et al. [5], Wang et al. [6], Elangoyan et al. [7], Rao et al. [8] and Rajesh and
Namboothi [9] used vibration signals to measure reliability and wear correlation. Therefore make a prediction and develop tool condition monitoring successfully comply with selected method respectively. Recently, Aghdam et al. [10] captured wear sensitive features and derived from auto-regressive moving average (ARMA) model of the recorded signals. The outputs of ARMA metric can also be used to provide reliable predictions for the tool.

The determination of transition point between mild and severe wear was the starting point. Mild wear is considered as acceptable wear state whereas the transition to severe conditions often represents a change to commercially unacceptable situations [11]. Therefore, the wear map was developed [12]–[15]. The well-known tool wear progression in machining describe the flank wear versus cutting time. The wear initially increases rapidly and later on gradually reduces to a constant rate until tool failure is reached. The wear rate was almost constant where there was no obvious transition from the steady state in the failure region [16].

Significant changes in the tool wear rate indicate the position of the transition time between the states. The values of the maximum wear corresponding to the first and second transition times are considered as the wear state criteria. The first and second criteria were found to be in the range of 0.05 – 0.1mm and 0.15 – 1.00mm, respectively, depending on the type of the operation [18]. Previous researchers have classified flank wear into three conditions for wavelet analysis [19], fuzzy logic [20] and neural networks [21]. It consists of Phase 1 flank wear (VB = 0 - 0.15mm) were classified as a normal phase. Phase 2 flank wear (VB = 0.15 - 0.25mm), classified as medium abnormal phase. Lastly, phase 3 flank wear (VB = 0.25 - 0.30mm), classified as critical abnormal phase. The three-period curve of flank face wear value increases from the initial wear (normal phase), and the wear rate is kept at a high level. It is followed by the steady normal wear (medium abnormal phase), where the wear rate is decreased. When the rapid wear takes place, the wear rate is the highest compared with the initial and normal periods, and therefore, the cutting stability is low. Based on the phenomenon, the ideal tool life should be before the rapid wear [22] where the wear value maximum of 0.250mm. These works have been carried out to develop a more favourable tool wear tracking using vibration signals and eventually to predict and estimate the second transition point from the steady-state region into the failure region in tool wear monitoring.

2. Materials and method

An innovative, integrated rotating dynamometer was designed and constructed by Rizal to measure the cutting force in a wireless environment system [23]. This dynamometer utilised strain gauge that is mounted on legged cross beam transducer to measure three components of cutting force based on a rotating cutting force system, namely main cutting force \( F_c \), thrust force \( F_t \) and perpendicular cutting force \( F_{pc} \). Meanwhile an accelerometer, a thermocouple and strain gauge to measure torque are also built to the rotating dynamometer to build a multi sensor system. This sensor system is then used for tool wear monitoring in milling P20+Ni tool steel using end milling cutting tool insert was tungsten carbide with multi-layer PVD TiAlN/AlCrN grade ACP200 (Code: AXMT170504PEER-G). A milling process experimental is prepared with various \( 2^3 \) full factorial combinations of cutting speed (200 and 373 m/min), feed rate (0.10 and 0.20 mm/tooth), radial depth of cut (0.4 and 0.6 mm) and axial depth of cut is kept constant at 1mm.

3. Statistical analysis method

The analysis produces \( Z_{rot} \) index as an input parameter that employs mathematical and statistical features (mean, standard deviation and Kurtosis) from signal data (such as force signal and vibration). The development of ZrPM starts with the Z-rot tracking analysis method. Afterwards, ZrPM is determined to resolve the unclear transition point from the second region to the third region [16]. The transition point will be assessed to several-underlined curve fitting accordingly. The algorithm is summarized as presented in Figure 1.
3.1  Z-rot tracking analysis

The selected features are suggested to among the best features to study wear correlation with signal amplitude [24]. It is based on a signal element variance scattering around its mean centroid. The method exhibits data pattern in defining the randomness of data features over the whole lifetime to diagnose inferences and expected to have more sensitivity toward amplitude and anomalies changes in a signal. These interpretations are beneficial for prediction and decision making such as in machine learning adaptation. It is also expected to be able to improve the wear progression curve which was unable to exhibit the three typical wear region for the cutting speed more than 120mm/min [25].

Compute the distance, \( r \), for each data variable by subtracting the mean data, \( \bar{\sigma}y \), from the data variable, \( \sigma_y \) to generate a zero-mean distribution.

\[
    r = (\sigma_y - \bar{\sigma}y)
\]  

(1)

Based on the standard deviation and kurtosis value obtained, Error! Bookmark not defined. will gives the index, \( Z_{rot} \), that indicates the current condition and records the wear evolution of cutting tool wear during the cutting process.

\[
    Z_{rot} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sigma^4 r K_r}
\]

(2)

\( Z_{rot} \) is a tracking analysis kurtosis based use to track the severity of wear. It is expected to show a healthy relationship over the wear evolution.

3.2  Z-rot predictive model (ZrPM)

Several numbers of complex damage phenomena are happening within these stages (steady state and failure region) and require better understanding. The events are the likelihood to produce different wear progression scenarios. The wear progression scenario is significantly essential to predict the length (time) and propagation rate of each stage to predict the second transition point of a remaining lifetime.

The first attempt in spotting the time of the second transition point (TP_{STP}), plot a linear fit (Eq. 1) on the scattered data points followed by 2\(^{nd}\) (Eq. 4) and 3\(^{rd}\) (Eq. 5) order of polynomial regressions to fit the trend line.

\[
    f(x) = a_1 x + a_0
\]

(3)

\[
    g(x) = a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0
\]

(4)

\[
    h(x) = a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0
\]

(5)

The decision to decide over the TP_{STP} is determining by the last intersection point between the linear and one of both polynomial regressions. The intersection point should not be extended too far outside the data. The choice is according to conditions:

i. First of all, consider the last intersection point (TP\(_3\)) between linear fit and the 3\(^{rd}\) order of the polynomial. The intersection point must be within or under the total cutting time (\( T_{Total \ cutting \ time} \)) and has a bigger value from the other resulting last intersection point (TP\(_2\)) between the linear and 2\(^{nd}\) order of the polynomial. The expression as stated:

\[
    TP_3 < \max (T_{Total \ cutting \ time}) \&\& TP_3 > TP_2
\]

(6)
ii. If the intersection point does not comply either one or both conditions above, the system will return to consider the \( TP_2 \) resulted from crossing point between the linear and 2\(^{nd} \) order of the polynomial.

\[
TP_2 < \text{max}(T_{\text{Total cutting}}) \tag{7}
\]

Figure 1: Flowchart of the Z-rot predictive model

4. Result and discussion

4.1 Vibration signal

Figure 2 shows the time domain and frequency domain of vibration accelerations of the cutting process detected during the advancement of tool wear. It can be seen that dominant frequency activities occur at relatively low and middle-frequency regions. The high-frequency activities occur at low-frequency regions covering up to 500Hz and contain the most condition indicating information about the cutting process. The magnitudes are also gradually increased with the advancement of tool wear and notably increased when the flank wear as in Fig. 2 has significantly developed from 0.001mm (run 1) to 0.131mm (run 23). The other frequency activities take place around after 500Hz up to 1500Hz which is the reflection of the damped natural frequency of the tool-workpiece system [26]. It can be seen in all experimental sets that characteristics of the frequency components located at the high-frequency region change with the advancement of wear. They occupy a larger frequency span around range between 500Hz and 1500Hz and their amplitudes rise when the severity of wear is increased.
4.2 Flank wear response

Figure 3: $Z_{rot}$ index of the vibration accelerations magnitude of cutting process during the advancement of wear: a) Experimental set 5 – $v = 375\,\text{m/min}, f = 0.1\,\text{mm}, d = 0.4\,\text{mm}$. b) Experimental set 6 – $v = 375\,\text{m/min}, f = 0.1\,\text{mm}, d = 0.6\,\text{mm}$.

Figure 3 illustrates the statistic ($Z_{rot}$ index) of the detected vibration acceleration signals at the mentioned cutting condition concerning the wear. During the very early phase of wear development, the amplitude of the vibration acceleration is slightly increased which is correspondingly reflected by the $Z_{rot}$. However, it is sometimes reduced when the wear starts developing on the tool’s cutting edges which is also indicated as reductions in the $Z_{rot}$ index as well as in signal amplitude. Nevertheless, the amplitudes of the ensuing $Z_{rot}$ are then gradually increased with the advancement of wear where amplitude variations are also observed in the frequency domain. When the wear is fully developed over the flank surfaces at the end of wear test, the amplitude of the vibration signal magnitude represented by $Z_{rot}$ index is notably increased, and the symptoms of tool wear are favourably revealed in the vibration signal plotting alongside with other sensors related.

4.3 Estimation the second transition point (STP)

The index was plotted using Matlab software to see the variation of $Z_{rot}$ index within a certain time. The results of the machining tests and the analyses that help to have a better understanding of the trending tool wear mechanisms are presented in figure 4.
Flank wear is the major failure pattern regardless the cutting speed. However, the tool wear is more critical at 375m/min compared with that at 200m/min. It is difficult to express the failure numerically, and therefore, the data are hard to collect within the limited experiments, resulting in that the rake face wear is out of the consideration of tool life evaluation in this case. The example of every last intersection point between linear and the polynomial regression fitting respectively of $Z_{rot}$ vs cutting time are shown in Figure 4. In the time domain and frequency domain extracted of an experiment set 1, the second transition point is achieved at about 58.53s and 57.65s (approximate wear VB = 0.250mm) separately before the tool begins to total failure. While, with different speed level, the second transition point is considered around 15.82s and 14.4s (approximate wear VB = 0.250mm). Both experiment condition were assigned to the maximum fit of 3rd order of the polynomial. As the 2nd order of polynomial would be detecting the STP earlier than what 3rd order of polynomial has. Comparing with the cutting speed 200m/min, the time to reach second transition point wear rate is faster at 375m/min approximately after 15s, and the wear value reaches the wear criterion rapidly after 17second. The overall result arrangement is in Table 1.

4.3.1 The relationship between the cutting vibration and the cutting force

To validate the results of the model for estimating the transition times between the second and third states using vibration signal data, Z-rot prediction method also done on force signal data extracted simultaneously from the same experimental set. The force signal data contain three components of cutting force based on a rotating cutting force system, namely main cutting force $F_c$, thrust force $F_t$ and perpendicular cutting force $F_{cn}$. The signal data is analysed in time domain using Z-rot analysis and the illustration as in Figure 5. From the example observations and analyses made above, it is clear that the cutting vibrations do not necessarily have the same varying pattern as that of the cutting forces in machining with either a sharp tool or a worn tool. In machining with a sharp tool and with a worn tool, the cutting forces can be very close to each of experimental sets, but the vibration magnitude can be very different. In addition, larger cutting forces do not necessarily lead to larger vibration amplitudes. For example, Figure 5b shows the time domain resultant force in $Z_{rot}$ index in machining with a sharp tool is higher than that in time domain $Z_{rot}$ index for vibration acceleration. In summary, the cutting forces are determined by material property, tool geometry, the cutting conditions, and so on. The cutting forces not only determine the cutting vibration, but also by the structural rigidity (such as damping and stiffness) of the tool–work–machine system [27].
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4.3.2 Overall estimation of the second transition point

The outline of the overall result to estimate STP for the various condition, different sensors signal data (vibration, force components and torque) where vibration both in the time domain and in frequency domain while force and torque were analyzed in time domain respectively as in Table 1.

Table 1: Overall estimation of the second transition points for various machining condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Total cutting time (s)</th>
<th>Predicted STP* Vibration (Time domain) Time (s)</th>
<th>Predicted STP* Vibration (Frequency domain) Time (s)</th>
<th>Predicted STP* Force Time (s)</th>
<th>Predicted STP* Torque Time (s)</th>
<th>Average Time (s)</th>
<th>Predicted flank wear VB (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>64.06</td>
<td>58.53 (P3)</td>
<td>57.65 (P3)</td>
<td>51.68 (P2)</td>
<td>58.97 (P3)</td>
<td>56.71</td>
<td>~ 0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64.06</td>
<td>50.29 (P2)</td>
<td>50.29 (P2)</td>
<td>56.41 (P3)</td>
<td>51.07 (P3)</td>
<td>52.02</td>
<td>~ 0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>28.22 (P3)</td>
<td>25.94 (P2)</td>
<td>25.94 (P2)</td>
<td>28.21 (P3)</td>
<td>27.08</td>
<td>~ 0.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>29.21 (P3)</td>
<td>26.53 (P2)</td>
<td>27.52 (P3)</td>
<td>28.93 (P3)</td>
<td>28.05</td>
<td>~ 0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34.16</td>
<td>31.37 (P3)</td>
<td>31.71 (P3)</td>
<td>27.52 (P3)</td>
<td>32.03 (P3)</td>
<td>30.66</td>
<td>~ 0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>34.16</td>
<td>27.71 (P3)</td>
<td>29.02 (P3)</td>
<td>29.73 (P3)</td>
<td>28.71 (P3)</td>
<td>28.79</td>
<td>~ 0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>16.86 (P3)</td>
<td>16.11 (P3)</td>
<td>13.78 (P2)</td>
<td>15.53 (P3)</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>~ 0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>15.82 (P3)</td>
<td>14.40 (P3)</td>
<td>16.34 (P3)</td>
<td>15.56 (P3)</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>~ 0.250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* STP = Second transition point
* P = Order of polynomial

The outline of the overall result to estimate STP for the various condition, different sensors signal data (vibration, force components and torque) where vibration signals both in the time domain and in frequency domain while force and torque were analyzed in time domain respectively as in Table 1. Based on the observation made above, the predicted STP values are mostly similar at certain machining conditions. The predicted second transition point has an average of predicted wear 0.235mm where the flank wear value is under the rapid wear.
5. Conclusion

The resulting trend of the $Z_{rot}$ index analysis is useful in determining the second transition point with the specific safe cutting time in every test sample. Thus, this method is proposed as an alternative technique to monitor the cutting tool wear progression during the machining process.

REFERENCES