

---

---

# A Parametric Shock Analysis of Spade-Less, Lightweight, Wheeled, Military Vehicles Subjected To Cannon Firing Impact: A Feasibility Study of Spade Removal

**Ashkan Haji Hosseinloo**

*School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore*

**Nader Vahdati**

*Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Petroleum Institute, PO Box 2533, Abu Dhabi, UAE*

**Fook Fah Yap**

*School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore 639798, Singapore*

(Received 28 September 2012; accepted 29 November 2012)

Tracked military vehicles are being replaced by their lightweight wheeled counterparts in many armies around the world. However, mounting high calibre artillery guns on lightweight, wheeled vehicles may bring about problems such as crew discomfort, vehicle slide, lift-off, turnover, and etc. To avoid these problems, spades are used to connect the vehicle to the ground which in turn reduces the vehicle mobility. Furthermore, the optimum spade design for different vehicles and soils is a difficult if not impossible task to accomplish. In this paper, a spade-less, four-wheeled vehicle with a mounted mortar is modelled, and the effects of the firing impact amplitude, duration, and elevation angle on vehicle response are investigated. It is found that all of the likely problems can be avoided if appropriate precautions are taken, except for firing inaccuracy at very high bomb charges. Therefore, for many cases, it is feasible to remove the spades.

---

## NOMENCLATURE

$F_r$	Recoil force	$c_{hf}$	Front horizontal damping coefficient of chassis
$m_r$	Recoil mass	$L$	Vehicle wheelbase
$m_{tr}$	Rear unsprung mass	$a$	Distance from mortar-chassis centre of gravity to front of the car
$m_{tf}$	Front unsprung mass	$b$	Distance from mortar-chassis centre of gravity to rear of the car
$m_c$	Mortar-chassis mass	$c$	Horizontal distance from mortar-chassis centre of gravity to mortar connection point to chassis
$m_{ch}$	Chassis mass	$f$	Distance from mortar centre of gravity to its connection point to chassis
$m_{mor}$	Mortar mass	$e$	Distance from chassis centre of gravity to rear of the car
$I_c$	Mortar-chassis mass moment of inertia	$d$	Distance from chassis centre of gravity to front of the car
$I_{ch}$	Chassis mass moment of inertia	$h$	Horizontal distance from chassis centre of gravity to mortar connection point to chassis
$I_{mor}$	Mortar mass moment of inertia	$\alpha$	Mortar elevation angle from horizon
$k_r$	Recoil stiffness	$h_c$	Vertical distance from mortar-chassis centre of gravity to mortar connection point to chassis
$k_{cr}$	Rear suspension stiffness	$h_r$	Vertical distance from mortar-chassis centre of gravity to rear suspension
$k_{cf}$	Front suspension stiffness	$h_f$	Vertical distance from mortar-chassis centre of gravity to front suspension
$k_{tr}$	Rear tire stiffness		
$k_{tf}$	Front tire stiffness		
$k_{hr}$	Rear horizontal stiffness of chassis		
$k_{hf}$	Front horizontal stiffness of chassis		
$c_r$	Recoil damping coefficient		
$c_{cr}$	Rear suspension damping coefficient		
$c_{cf}$	Front suspension damping coefficient		
$c_{tr}$	Rear tire damping coefficient		
$c_{tf}$	Front tire damping coefficient		
$c_{hr}$	Rear horizontal damping coefficient of chassis		